

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GUOHUA ZHU, Individually and On Behalf
of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

UCBH HOLDINGS, INC., THOMAS S. WU,
and EBRAHIM SHABUDIN,

Defendants.

No. C 09-4208 JSW
No. C 09-4429 JSW
No. C 09-4449 JSW
No. C 09-4513 JSW
No. C 09-4505 JSW

**ORDER VACATING HEARING
AND REQUIRING FURTHER
BRIEFING**

HUY TRAN, Individually and On Behalf of
All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

UCBH HOLDINGS, INC., THOMAS S. WU,
and CRAIG ON,

Defendants.

DOMINIQUE DURBIN, Individually and On
Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

UCBH HOLDINGS, INC., THOMAS S. WU,
and CRAIG ON.

Defendants.

1 WATERFORD TOWNSHIP GENERAL
2 EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM,
3 Individually and On Behalf of All Others
4 Similarly Situated,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 UCBH HOLDINGS, INC., THOMAS S. WU,
8 CRAIG ON, and EBRAHIM SHABUDIN,

9 Defendants.

10
11 DANIEL NYGAARD, WENDY FONG,
12 JAMES ELAM, Individually and On Behalf of
13 All Others Similarly Situated,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 UCBH HOLDINGS, INC., THOMAS S. WU,
17 CRAIG ON, and EBRAHIM SHABUDIN,

18 Defendants.

19 The Court HEREBY VACATES the hearing on the pending motions to appoint lead
20 counsel and select lead plaintiff and will reset the hearing at a later date, if necessary. The
21 Court directs the parties to file a supplemental brief on the issue of whether hearing claims
22 against the individual defendants, separate from the claims against defendant UCBH Holdings,
23 Inc. ("UCBH"), would be in the interests of judicial economy and conserving the parties'
24 resources. The parties shall file such supplemental briefs by no later than December 23, 2009.

25 Moreover, the Court notes that the bankruptcy trustee for UCBH did not respond to the
26 Court's order to show cause. Although this matter is automatically stayed with respect to
27 UCBH, the Court would like to hear UCBH's perspective on whether its interests would be
28 harmed by these actions proceeding against the individual defendants, in addition to UCBH's

///


///

///

1 opinion on whether hearing claims against the individual defendants and UCBH separately
2 would be in the interests of judicial economy and conserving the parties' resources.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4
5 Dated: December 14, 2009


6 JEFFREY S. WHITE
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28